



# Student Evaluation of Instructor Summer Semester 2018

Andrew Young School of Policy Studies

## Student Evaluation of Instructor

**Course:** 54995 ECON 4600 ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT  
**Instructor:** Maria C. Morales-Leon  
**Distribution:** Online  
**Enrollment:** 19  
**Respondents:** 16  
**Percentage:** 84.2%

5 - Strongly Agree  
 1 - Strongly Disagree  
 0 - N/A

|   | 5  | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | Inst | Sect | Crse | Dept | Coll | StdD |
|---|----|---|---|---|---|---|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| 1. The instructor followed the plan for the course as established in the syllabus.            | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5    | 5    | 5    | 4.4  | 4.5  | 0    |
| 2. The instructor gave assignments relevant to the goals of this course.                      | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5    | 5    | 5    | 4.3  | 4.5  | 0    |
| 3. The instructor explained the course grading system clearly.                                | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5    | 5    | 5    | 4.3  | 4.5  | 0    |
| 4. The instructor was willing and able to answer students' questions.                         | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5    | 5    | 5    | 4.2  | 4.4  | 0    |
| 5. The instructor was receptive to students' and others' opinions.                            | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5    | 5    | 5    | 4.2  | 4.4  | 0    |
| 6. Test questions clearly related to course content.  | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5    | 5    | 5    | 4.2  | 4.4  | 0    |
| 7. The instructor communicates effectively.   | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5    | 5    | 5    | 4.1  | 4.3  | 0    |
| 8. The instructor was well prepared.  | 9  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4.9  | 4.9  | 4.9  | 4.2  | 4.4  | .3   |
| 9. The instructor demonstrated extensive knowledge of the subject.                            | 9  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4.9  | 4.9  | 4.9  | 4.3  | 4.5  | .3   |
| 10. The instructor stimulated me to intellectual effort beyond that required by most courses. | 9  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4.9  | 4.9  | 4.9  | 4.1  | 4.3  | .3   |
| 11. The instructor's teaching methods aid students in understanding the material.             | 9  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4.9  | 4.9  | 4.9  | 4    | 4.3  | .3   |
| 12. The instructor provided helpful feedback on assignments.                                  | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5    | 5    | 5    | 4.1  | 4.3  | 0    |
| 13. The instructor was accessible to students outside of class.                               | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5    | 5    | 5    | 4.3  | 4.4  | 0    |
| 14. I would recommend this instructor to anyone who is planning to                            | 9  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4.9  | 4.9  | 4.9  | 4    | 4.3  | .3   |

take this course.

|   |                |      |   |   |   |   |     |     |     |     |     |    |
|---|----------------|------|---|---|---|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|
| 15. The overall structuring and sequencing of topics in this course facilitated learning.                                     | 9              | 1    | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 4.2 | 4.4 | .3 |
| 16. Course assignments, including examinations, required creative and original thinking beyond mere memorization of material. | 9              | 1    | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 4.2 | 4.4 | .3 |
| 17. I am pleased with how much I learned in the course.   | 9              | 1    | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 4.1 | 4.3 | .3 |
| 18. Considering the subject matter of the course, the instructor was effective as a teacher.                                  | 9              | 1    | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 4.1 | 4.3 | .3 |
| 19. Generally, how many hours per week did you spend outside of class preparing for class?                                    | <b>Total %</b> |      |   |   |   |   |     |     |     |     |     |    |
| 0-2   | 5              | 50.0 |   |   |   |   |     |     |     |     |     |    |
| 3-4   | 3              | 30.0 |   |   |   |   |     |     |     |     |     |    |
| 4-8   | 1              | 10.0 |   |   |   |   |     |     |     |     |     |    |
| 9-14  | 1              | 10.0 |   |   |   |   |     |     |     |     |     |    |

|  |                |      |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|----------------|------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 20. What is your grade point average at GSU? | <b>Total %</b> |      |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4.30-4.00                                    | 0              | 0    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3.99-3.75                                    | 0              | 0    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3.74-3.00                                    | 4              | 40.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2.99-2.50                                    | 2              | 20.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2.50-0.00                                    | 3              | 30.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New GSU Student (No GPA)                     | 1              | 10.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

|   |                |      |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|----------------|------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 21. For undergraduates only, which best applies to you? | <b>Total %</b> |      |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| freshman  | 0              | 0    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| sophomore   | 0              | 0    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| junior  | 3              | 30.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| senior  | 6              | 60.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| other   | 1              | 10.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

5 - Superior  
1 - Poor  
0 - N/A

|  | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | Inst | Sect | Crse | Dept | Coll | StdD |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| 22. Considering both the limitations and possibilities of the subject matter and course, how would you rate the overall teaching effectiveness of this instructor? | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4.9  | 4.9  | 4.9  | 4    | 4.2  | .3   |
| 23. What is your overall rating of this course?  | 7 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4.7  | 4.7  | 4.7  | 4    | 4.2  | .7   |

Course strengths.

1. Required a lot of in depth understanding and reading comprehension
2. The material is very interesting, and the professor included many examples that made the course very relevant to current day things. It challenged me in new ways, in a helpful way, I am a better student because I took this class

Instructor strengths.

1. Very clear and concise, easily relatable, presented the course material very well
2. She is the best professor I have ever had at Ga state, she was excellent at communication and made herself very available to answer any questions and concern. She is so passionate about what she was teaching, I would take any class she teaches. She seems to really care about us, and did not seem distant and like someone we couldn't talk to. I could really see she cares about being a good teacher. Excellent!!!!

Course weaknesses.

1. n/a
2. NA

Instructor weaknesses.

1. n/a

2. NA
  3. Followed the slide/PowerPoint too closely. She was basically reading the slides in a different way. To her credit, every now and then she would input her own ideas. However bc all the student needed to succeed in the course was to study the slides, there was no incentive to attend lectures.
- 

Suggestions for course improvement.

1. n/a
  2. NA
- 

Suggestions for instructor improvement.

1. n/a
  2. The research paper, was a bit long
- 

Comments on classroom environment (for example: temperature, audio/visual equipment, visibility, etc.).

1. n/a
- 

**RELEASE: 1.0.0**

**© 2018 Ellucian Company L.P. and its affiliates.**