Student Evaluation of Instructor Fall Semester 2016

Andrew Young School of Policy Studies

Student Evaluation of Instructor

Course:	85509 ECON 2105 PRINCIPLES OF MACROECONOMICS
Instructor:	Maria C. Morales-Leon
Distribution:	Online
Enrollment:	22
Respondents:	19
Percentage:	86.4%

5 - Strongly Agree
1 - Strongly Disagree
0 - N/A

	5	4	3	2	1	0	Inst	Sect	Crse	Dept	Coll	StdD
1. The instructor followed the plan for the course as established in the syllabus.	14	2	0	0	0	0	4.9	4.9	4.4	4.4	4.5	.3
2. The instructor gave assignments relevant to the goals of this course.	14	2	0	0	0	0	4.9	4.9	4.4	4.4	4.4	.3
3. The instructor explained the course grading system clearly.	12	4	0	0	0	0	4.8	4.8	4.4	4.4	4.4	.4
4. The instructor was willing and able to answer students' questions.	15	0	0	0	0	1	5	5	4.2	4.3	4.4	0
5. The instructor was receptive to students' and others' opinions.	14	1	1	0	0	0	4.8	4.8	4.2	4.3	4.4	.5
6. Test questions clearly related to course content.	14	2	0	0	0	0	4.9	4.9	4.2	4.3	4.4	.3
7. The instructor communicates effectively.	12	4	0	0	0	0	4.8	4.8	4.1	4.1	4.2	.4
8. The instructor was well prepared.	12	2	1	1	0	0	4.6	4.6	4.4	4.3	4.4	.9
9. The instructor demonstrated extensive knowledge of the subject.	10	5	1	0	0	0	4.6	4.6	4.4	4.4	4.5	.6
10. The instructor stimulated me to intellectual effort beyond that required by most courses.	10	5	1	0	0	0	4.6	4.6	4	4.1	4.2	.6
11. The instructor's teaching methods aid students in understanding the material.	12	2	2	0	0	0	4.6	4.6	4	4	4.2	.7
12. The instructor provided helpful feedback on assignments.	13	1	1	0	1	0	4.6	4.6	3.9	4	4.2	1.1
13. The instructor was accessible to students outside of class.	13	1	1	1	0	0	4.6	4.6	4.2	4.3	4.4	.9
14. I would recommend this instructor to anyone who is planning to	12	1	1	2	0	0	4.4	4.4	4	4	4.2	1.1

take this course.

	5	4	3	2	1	0	Inst	Sect	Crse	Dept	Coll	StdD
15. The overall structuring and sequencing of topics in this course facilitated learning.	11	3	2	0	0	0	4.6	4.6	4.1	4.2	4.3	.7
16. Course assignments, including examinations, required creative and original thinking beyond mere memorization of material.	10	4	1	1	0	0	4.4	4.4	4.1	4.1	4.3	.9
17. I am pleased with how much I learned in the course.	11	2	2	1	0	0	4.4	4.4	3.9	4	4.2	1
18. Considering the subject matter of the course, the instructor was effective as a teacher.	12	1	3	0	0	0	4.6	4.6	4.1	4.1	4.2	.8

19. Generally, how many hours per week did you spend outside of class preparing for class?

	Total	%
0-2	6	37.5
3-4	5	31.3
4-8	5	31.3
9-14	0	0

20. What is your grade point average at GSU?

	Total	%
4.30-4.00	0	0
3.99-3.75	1	6.3
3.74-3.00	3	18.8
2.99-2.50	1	6.3
2.50-0.00	0	0
New GSU Student (No GPA)	11	68.8

21. For undergraduates only, which best applies to you?

	Total	%
freshman	16	100.0
sophomore	0	0
junior	0	0
senior	0	0
other	0	0

5 - Superior
1 - Poor
0 - N/A

	5	4	3	2	1	0	Inst	Sect	Crse	Dept	Coll	StdD
22. Considering both the limitations and possibilities of the subject matter and course, how would you rate the overall teaching effectiveness of this instructor?	11	2	3	0	0	0	4.5	4.5	4	4	4.2	.8
23. What is your overall rating of this course?	10	3	3	0	0	0	4.4	4.4	3.9	4	4.1	.8

Course strengths.
1. it was interesting in the beginning
2. having si
3. Great lectures
4. Instructor is gorgeous.
5. Overall excellent enforcement of main concepts.
6. The instructor makes everything extremely clear and will go back and discuss materials that some students were struggling with in class. The course was also designed to be beneficial in both an online presents and a traditional classroom setting.
7. The topics were well related to the outside world
8. The course gives students insight on the economy and how it works.
9. Content and relevance to my major or interests.
10. challenging
11. The material goes deep into Macroeconomics and you learn lots of information about the subject
12. Homework Assignments really helped a lot!! Along with posting each lectures from class just incase you was abs etc.

Instructor strengths.
1. knows her infromation and powerpoints were really good

2. explaining effectively overall good
3. She explains everything thoroughly. She gives plenty of opportunities to participate. She offers incentives for wanting to excel.
4. Instructor was clear and concise. Also everything was organized in a way that made sense.
5. she made everything more simple to understand
6. Very knowledgeable in relation to the course.
7. Lectures and real-life relevancy.
8. effective teacher, very helpful, willing to go beyond to make sure her students understand the topic
9. She was well organized, always on time, related to the students very well, she explained the information in a understandable way and used many sources to help us learn the material
10. Was very talkative and engaged every day. Show me that she was passionate about what she was teaching.
11. Gave good lectures

Course weaknesses.
1. hard
2. having si but limited times
3. Instructor is gorgeous
4. Not really any relevant weaknesses.
5. The code was expensive and the textbook wasn't really necessary to buy because it was online.
6. n/a
7. Similar topics that can become confusing.
8. none
9. I don't think the course had many weaknesses
10. repetitive

Instructor weaknesses.
1. didn't explain well and made some stuff seem harder
2. theres better ways of teaching things and feel she should just not stick to one way
3. Not really any relevant weaknesses.
4. NA
5. n/a
6. n/a
7. none
8. She didn't really have many weaknesses
9. Kind of drew out too much on little subjects.

Suggestions for course improvement.
1. take out stuff unnecessary for life
2. Not suggestions for improvement.
3. Changing the textbook.
4. n/a
5. A more sequential instruction method.Let the course follow a timeline based off of information.
6. none
7. The course doesn't need much improvement
8. Become more concise

Suggestions for instructor improvement.
1. explain things a little better and slower we move too fast and don't get a chance to grasp the concept
2. Not suggestions for improvement.
3. Maybe making only one online quiz each week and having it be the post-lecture material because the pre-lecture seemed excessive and not as necessary.
4. n/a
5. n/a
6. none
7. The instructor in my opinion doesn't need much improvement, she was very organized and taught well and i enjoyed the class.
8. Concise

Comments on classroom environment (for example: temperature, audio/visual equipment, visibility, etc.).
1. nice environment there were 2 screens so that was good
2. great
3. Proper and suitable for learning efficiently.
4. The room always made me seem to feel exhausted even though I was fine before and after that class. It was mainly because it was a dull room and nothing really jumped out making it bland.
5. it was great
6. The classroom environment was adequate.
7. technology problems
8. The classroom wasn't bad, but there was a high pitch ringing noise that would happen throughout the class and it only happened when the professor would turn the lights up
9. Everything was good had no complaints.

RELEASE: 1.0.0